

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 Paul Patrick Jolivette,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 People of California, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:21-cv-00382-KJM-EFB

ORDER

17 The court construes the objections at ECF No. 33 as a motion to alter or amend the
18 judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59. *See Am. Ironworks & Erectors, Inc. v. N.*
19 *Am. Constr. Corp.*, 248 F.3d 892, 898–99 (9th Cir. 2001). The motion, so construed, is denied.
20 *See Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah Cty., Or., v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1262–63 (9th Cir.
21 1993) (listing factors for reconsideration under Rule 59(e)).

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: February 22, 2022.
24


CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE